



Thomas D. Nevins

Partner

San Francisco
Four Embarcadero Center
Seventeenth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: 415.434.9100
Fax: 415.434.3947
tnevins@sheppardmullin.com

PRACTICE AREAS

- Antitrust and Trade Regulation
- Litigation

OVERVIEW

Thomas Nevins is a partner in the Antitrust and Trade Regulation Practice Group in the firm's San Francisco office.

Areas of Practice

Mr. Nevins specializes in complex business litigation, with an emphasis in antitrust, price discrimination, and unfair competition. Prior to joining Sheppard Mullin, Mr. Nevins was an associate and partner at Broad, Schulz, Larson & Wineberg, San Francisco (1983-1994).

EDUCATION

- J.D., University of California, Boalt Hall, 1983
- A.B., University of California, Berkeley, 1975

EXPERIENCE

Representative Litigation Cases

Reilly v. The Hearst Corporation, 107 F.Supp.2d 1192 (N.D. Cal. 2000) (acquisition challenged under the Clayton Act and Sherman Act);

Pan Asia Venture Capital Corporation v. The Hearst Corporation, et al., 74 Cal.App.4th 424 (1999) (alleged pricing below cost under California Business and Professions Code 17043);

City of Long Beach v. Standard Oil Co. of California, 46 F.3d 929 (9th Cir. 1995), and 872 F.2d 1401 (9th Cir.), modified, 886 F.2d 246 (9th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 1076 (1990) (alleged price fixing and conspiracy to monopolize);

Tominaga v. Shepherd, 682 F.Supp. 1489 (C.D. Cal. 1988) (alleged group boycott, refusal deal and tying);

Northern California Booksellers Association v. The Hearst Corporation, United States District Court for Northern District of California (alleged secondary line price discrimination under Robinson-Patman Act 2(a));

Harris v. Duty Free Shoppers Limited Partnership, 940 F.2d 1272 (9th Cir. 1991) (alleged secret rebates under Robinson-Patman Act 2(c));

Western Shoe Gallery, Inc. v. Duty Free Shoppers Ltd., 593 F.Supp. 348 (N.D. Cal. 1984) (alleged secret rebates under Robinson-Patman Act 2(c));

Tomizaki v. Duty Fee Shoppers Ltd., San Francisco Superior Court (alleged secret rebates under California Business & Professions Code 17045);

Frontier Enterprises, Inc. v. Amador Stage Lines, Inc., 1985-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) 66,827 (E.D. Cal. 1985) (alleged sham litigation and price squeeze under Sherman Act 01 and 2).

MEMBERSHIPS

- Member, American Bar Association (Antitrust and Litigation sections)
- Member, State Bar of California
- Member, Bar Association of San Francisco

ARTICLES

- California Antitrust & Unfair Competition Law Book, August 9, 2005
- California Antitrust and Unfair Competition Book, May 28, 2003

Antitrust Law Blog Articles

- "Interlocutory Appeal From Denial Of *Twombly* Motion to Dismiss in Text Messaging Antitrust Litigation", May 24, 2011
- "Ninth Circuit Finds That New Home Buyer Plaintiffs Fail To Satisfy *Per Se* Tying Element That Amount Of Commerce Not Be "Insubstantial" "Zero Foreclosure" Is Less Than 'De Minimus.'", September 3, 2009
- "Antitrust Division's Top Economist Addresses Congress On The Newspaper Industry", June 12, 2009
- "Is An Exclusive Dealing Contract An Unlawful Covenant Not To Compete?", April 13, 2009

BOOKS

- California Antitrust & Unfair Competition Law - 5th Ed.