New Employment Legislation for 2005
By Greg S. Labate, Esq. And Mary E. Lynch, Esq.

SB 1809: Amendment to The Private Attorney General Act (“PAGA”)
This emergency legislation addresses problems created by PAGA. It allows courts to reduce PAGA penalties, eliminates private causes of action and penalties for most minor posting violations, repeals requirement for employers to file job applications with DLSE, establishes procedural rules that must be followed before PAGA claims can be filed, and, in certain situations, allows employers to cure problems and prevent lawsuits. Although this law provides employers with a glimmer of hope, regular self-audits of all policies and practices are essential to avoiding these very expensive PAGA class action lawsuits.

AB 1825: Mandatory Sexual Harassment Training for Supervisors
This law requires employers (with 50 or more employees) to provide sexual harassment training to all supervisory employees. The law defines “employees” broadly to include leased and temporary employees, independent contractors and persons acting as an agent of the employer. Supervisory employees employed as of July 1, 2005 must receive training by January 1, 2006. Newly hired or promoted supervisory employees must receive training within 6 months. Follow up training must occur at least once every two years. The training must consist of at least two hours of interactive training and education regarding the prohibition against, prevention and correction of sexual harassment and the remedies available to victims of sexual harassment. Although this law does not define “supervisor,” California law generally defines a “supervisor” in very broad terms. Thus, employers should provide all employees who have even minimal supervisory duties with the mandated training. Failing to provide appropriate training may adversely affect otherwise legitimate defenses. As such, employers should begin this mandated training as soon as possible.

AB 205: The California Domestic Partner Rights and Responsibilities Act
This law seeks to eliminate the distinction between registered domestic partners and legal spouses by providing registered domestic partners with the same rights, benefits and opportunities conferred upon legal spouses under California law. (Note: The term “registered domestic partner” includes not only same sex couples, but couples where one of the partners is over 62 years old). The law does not eliminate distinctions in areas where employers choose to offer spouses benefits that are not mandated by state law. Employers should review all policies to guarantee compliance with this law.

AB 2208: The California Insurance Equality Act
This requires all forms of insurance regulated by the Department of Insurance to provide equal coverage to employers’ registered domestic partners with the same terms and conditions that are provided to employees’ spouses. It precludes plans and policies from offering or providing coverage to registered domestic partners that is not equal to that offered or provided to spouses. Verification of a domestic partnership is allowed only if verification of a marriage is also required.

Proposed Meal and Break Period Regulations
If passed, these proposed regulations will provide employers with more flexibility in complying with meal and rest period requirements. They establish criteria to determine if a meal period has been “provided,” explain the timing for the required meal periods, and clarify that the monetary sanction for violating the meal period rules is a penalty not a wage. Employers should monitor this issue.

SB 1618: Use of Social Security Numbers on Pay Stubs
This requires employers to furnish employees with pay stubs showing no more than the last four digits of their social security number or an existing employee identification number other than a social security number. Previously, employers were required to included employees’ entire social security number on their pay stubs. Employers have until January 1, 2008 to make this change.

Changes To The Acceptable “A” List Documents For I-9 Forms
The following documents are no longer acceptable to establish identity and employment eligibility: (1) Certificate of U.S. Citizenship; (2) Certificate of Naturalization; (3) Permanent Resident Card or Alien Registration Receipt Card with photograph; (4) Unexpired reentry permit; or (5) Unexpired Refugee Travel Document.

HR 4306: Electronic Completion and Storage of I-9 Forms
This allows employers to electronically complete and store I-9 Forms and authorizes electronic signatures by employees and employers. Employers must still view the original documents presented by the employee to prove the individual’s identity and work authorization. The law does not become effective until the Department of Homeland Security promulgates final implementing regulations or 180 days after the President signed the bill, which was November 2, 2004, whichever comes first.

AB 254: Changes To Cal-COBRA
This law eliminates senior Cal-COBRA health insurance eligibility for individuals who would have been eligible on or after January 1, 2005, and allows seniors to take advantage of HIPAA options at lower prices. Senior Cal-COBRA coverage costs as much as 231% of what the group rate had been while the individual was employed. With senior Cal-COBRA in place, seniors were precluded from taking advantage of HIPAA because HIPAA coverage is not available for someone who has other insurance options.

Conclusion
Due to the effect these changes have on California employment law, we strongly recommend employers have their handbooks and policies reviewed by experienced labor counsel to ensure compliance with the new legislation.
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