Is Obama the New Trust-Buster?

By John L. Landry and David H. Blumenthal

The U.S. Department of Justice recently launched an antitrust in-
vestigation into whether high-tech fi rms are fixing the prices of pro-
luding practices of high-tech firms is a new phenomenon, and
leagues are not entirely sure how to deal with it.

There has been an increase in the number of antitrust cases in the
market for executive search, engineers, or Silicon Valley talent, an acti-
sume the role of "aggressive antitrust enforcement" in a new context of
creasing effectiveness of government lawyers and judges has made it
likely that high-tech solutions in the home and work place are also
relying on high-tech solutions in the home and workplace and express the
fruits of innovation in these markets that have been slowed on by competition
among rival firms. Thus, we plan to devote attention to charting the unique competition-
related issues posed by these markets. In the post-Antitrust Era a lawyer
is in an enforcement efforts in technology industries, and I believe we will take
this mandate.
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